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Executive Summary

Introduction

In the state of Illinois, there are wide and persistent gaps in college completion rates especially among students of color and low-income students. After a deep analysis of our institutional data, Elgin Community College (“ECC” or “the College” or “we” or “our”) has developed an Equity Plan containing annual growth targets for which progress updates will be shared publicly over the course of the next five years. Our Equity Plan reflects the unique characteristics of our institution. For example, ECC is designated as a Hispanic Serving Institution by the US Department of Education with just over 42% of the students identifying as Latinx or Hispanic. In addition, the district served by ECC is widely diverse in terms of income and educational attainment. We will work to identify obstacles students face and develop programs and policies that break down unnecessary barriers to college graduation. Along with our fellow colleges, in the Partnership for College Completion organization, with this Illinois Equity in Attainment (“ILEA”) plan, we pledge to close the completion gaps by 2025.

To frame the work of this plan, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Statements were adopted. Providing common definitions sets the tone needed to advance our work across the College and to reach our ultimate goal of creating an inclusive multicultural learning environment in which everyone has access and a sense of belonging. These statements are at the core of the decisions we make.

Current State

Annually, ECC compiles data and reflects upon their impact in terms of program excellence and service delivery. Participating in ILEA has given us a new lens with which to review our data and gain a deeper understanding of our student population and completion gaps. The graduation rate (at 150% of normal time to completion) between White and Latinx students is closer together and higher than the rate for Black/African American students which lags behind. Students receiving Pell have graduation rates (at 150%) that are only slightly lower than those who do not receive Pell. As for persistence rates, Latinx students have the highest persistence and Black/African Americans have the lowest persistence rates. Those who receive Pell persist at higher rates than those students who do not. The target population varies by metric as to which is the highest and lowest performing. However, we have the greatest and most consistent opportunity for improvement when it comes to our Black/African American students and closing the gaps.

Future Vision

Our Equity Plan is integrated into our overarching Strategic Plan for 2018 to 2022, for which there are four main themes: Equity and Learning; Holistic Programming; Community Partnerships; and Service Excellence and Collaboration. There are 14 specific strategies in service of the main themes. Each year ECC prepares an Operating Plan of actions to be done
within departments and committees that align to these 14 strategies. Each of the ILEA Equity Plan strategies align with our Strategic Plan goals and either are, or will be, incorporated into Operating Plans moving forward. Therefore, our daily work is, and will continue to be, guided by these highly visible goals to which the College is fully committed and will be the reason we are able to close the completion gaps among our students.

Targets and interim benchmark goals for the leading indicators and completion metrics have been set based on the current student demographic group with the highest benchmark. In order to close any gaps, all targeted populations should reach the same benchmark, showing incremental improvement over time as strategies are implemented. It was challenging to arrive at what target to use as we anticipate that many of the strategies will result in improvements among all students, not just those that are in target populations. So, there is some concern that while we will improve these metrics, a gap may still persist because there will be improvements across the board.

**Institutional Strategies**

Elgin Community College will execute seven strategies specifically to improve persistence and to close the gap on completion rates. Many of the strategies will close completion gaps in more than one, sometimes in all, of the student target groups. One strategy in particular, Strategy 6: Holistic Case Management Model, is a broad reaching, multi-pronged approach to better serve all our students. It will allow us to more easily tailor our communications and interactions with our students so they will receive the level of support they as individuals need to become successful students. This is not a cookie-cutter approach for every student rather it is a very specialized approach for every unique student based on their own characteristics.

**Evaluation Plan**

We follow the PDSA model (Plan, Do, Study, Act) when implementing new plans. We value this continuous quality improvement technique because it is an ongoing process for achieving measurable improvement and its focus is on the process. Two times a year, our operating plan strategy leaders complete progress updates using a standardized form based on PDSA model and we will use the same form for our ILEA strategies. The evaluations will be funneled up through the ILEA Plan team to Cabinet and part of any public reporting the College does.
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1A: ILEA Overview

Despite improvements in high school graduation and college matriculation rates for Illinois students, there are wide and persistent gaps in college completion rates especially for low-income, first-generation students, and students of color. The launch of the Illinois Equity in Attainment (ILEA) marks a major step forward for 25 two-year and four-year public and private non-profit colleges and universities from northeastern Illinois and across the state who are committing to eliminate racial and socio-economic achievement gaps by 2025, while aggressively increasing completion rates on their campuses.

ILEA is the signature initiative of the Partnership for College Completion (PCC), a non-profit organization founded in 2016 to catalyze and champion policies, systems, and practices that ensure all students can graduate from college and achieve their career aspirations. With an initial focus on northeastern Illinois, PCC has set a goal to eliminate institutional achievement gaps in college degree completion for low-income, Latino and African-American students in Illinois by 2025, establishing the region as a national leader in equity in attainment.

Beginning with this initial cohort, PCC will offer direct support and resources to the 25 colleges and universities who have volunteered to be members of ILEA. PCC will work alongside these partner colleges to jointly set commitments, identify appropriate evidence-based strategies to utilize on their campuses, and scale effective practices. PCC will support policy and practice change efforts that have the explicit goal of eliminating racial and socioeconomic degree completion gaps, while increasing institutional college completion rates overall.

By participating in ILEA, partners commit to a core set of principles:

- Colleges are responsible for graduating all of their degree-seeking students as quickly and efficiently as possible.
- All students can graduate with college degrees if they have the right information, tools, and supports.
- Achievement gaps between low-income, Black and Latino students and their higher-income and White peers are unacceptable and should be eliminated.
- These efforts will be undertaken without sacrificing institutional quality or excellence or increasing admission standards.

The ILEA colleges will conduct a deep analysis of their institutional data, develop equity plans that contain annual growth targets, and report progress toward their goals, which will be shared publicly. The colleges will also work to identify obstacles students face and develop programs and policies that break down unnecessary barriers to college graduation.

The launch of ILEA follows a galvanizing 2017 PCC report, “Unequal Opportunity in Illinois: A Look at Who Graduates College and Why It Matters,” which found that only 33.7 percent of
African American students who start at four-year institutions earn bachelor’s degrees within six years – a rate 32.7 percentage points below that of their White peers. For Latinos, 49.3 percent are earning degrees, a gap of 17.1 percentage points. The completion gap between low-income and wealthier students is also alarming: according to Advance Illinois, only 37 percent of low-income students graduate in six years while 75 percent of wealthier students do.

Increased and more equitable graduation outcomes across the diversity of our state’s higher education institutions benefits students, their families and the state of Illinois. A 3% increase in graduation rates is projected to produce $1.7B increase in net earnings, $132M increase in tax revenue, and $35M in public system savings. The progress of the ILEA member colleges and universities will provide a set of learnings that the Partnership will document and share with practitioners and policymakers across Illinois, the region and the nation, establishing a path forward that will promote equity in college achievement.

For more information on ILEA, please visit: http://partnershipfcc.org/ILEA.

1B: Institution Overview

Elgin Community College is excited to be a part of the Illinois Equity in Attainment (ILEA) cohort. Regarding our participation, ECC President Dr. Sam states: “We look forward to using the frameworks and tools developed through this partnership to enhance our ability to fulfill the Elgin Community College mission: ‘to improve people’s lives through learning’. Through the expertise and support of ILEA members, we intend to strengthen our skills in defining, deploying, and assessing equity-based quality practices that remove barriers and ensure the delivery of resources needed by all students to achieve their goals.”

Mission

ECC’s mission is to improve people’s lives through learning. The mission is the core driver behind every goal and objective in Elgin Community College’s 5-year Strategic Plan for 2018 to 2022. Our promise to the residents of Illinois Community College District 509 is to create and provide high-quality learning environments that meet their needs while enriching their lives and communities. Our mission was crafted along with our vision, wherein we challenge ourselves to achieve excellence in how we improve lives. Our Mission is to be a national leader in promoting success for all students. Specifically, we strive to make recognized institution and to benchmark our performance against peers nationally. The Vision is attained through a commitment to provide innovative and affordable learning opportunities for all constituencies and to promote cultural competence and community partnership in our decisions and actions. Taken together, the mission and vision guide everything we do. Our mission calls us to improve the lives of others, and our vision promises excellence in how we do this.

Background

Elgin Community College was founded in 1949 as an extension of Elgin Area School District U46 and later incorporated in 1966 under the Illinois Community College Board. Presently, District
509, which is served by ECC, covers a 360 square mile area in the northwestern Chicagoland region that encompasses parts of five counties, and serves 25 incorporated municipalities and substantial unincorporated areas, the largest being the city of Elgin with a population of 113,000. The District’s service population is estimated at 457,915, and the city of Elgin accounts for a quarter of this total. Median household incomes of District varies widely by city, block, and zip codes with a range of about $75,000 in St. Charles and Bartlett to $54,000 in Elgin and Carpentersville. About 7.8% (35,517 of 457,915) of the population in our district lives below the poverty line.

The 217 acre main campus is in southwest Elgin, and the college operates two satellite locations: the Center for Emergency Services in Burlington to the west of the District and the Education and Work Center located in Hanover Park to the east. Currently, the college offers 138 degree and certificate programs in university transfer and career education across a variety of disciplines. The college offers a large adult education program providing pre-collegiate education for adults as well as high school equivalency (GED/HiSET) and English as a Second Language courses. As of last academic year, ECC has an annual unduplicated enrollment of over 14,547 (FTE=6,470). The Fall 2019 headcount was 9,931 (FTE = 5,451).

Among students enrolled in credit programs and adult education, approximately 54% are women, and the average age is 26. Just over 42% of students identify as Latino or Hispanic, and the College is a recognized Hispanic Serving Institution by the US Department of Education. The remainder of students are 40% White, 8% Asian, and 5% African American. The vast majority of students attend in person, with about 21% wholly or primarily enrolled in online courses. The College offers a number of non-credit and continuing education courses and programs as well as corporate training for business clients. The college offers an array of student athletics programs and is a member of the Illinois Skyway Collegiate Conference. ECC is also a recognized Military Friendly School and enrolls a sizable number of veterans. Finally, it hosts a vibrant performing arts series each year as well as a number of local musical ensembles.

The College employs 122 full-time faculty, 402 part-time faculty, 48 administrators, 236 staff and has been led since 2007 by Dr. David Sam, the college’s eighth president.
History of Equity Work
We joined Achieving the Dream (“ATD”) in 2009, the Higher Learning Commission (“HLC”) Student Success Academy in 2018, and ILEA in 2019. These three efforts work through the lens of equity to bring about student success at ECC. ATD is a national network; the HLC Student Success Academy operates regionally; and ILEA is a statewide organization. Student success is front and center in our Mission and all of our operational plan goals are in service of student success, and together these three affiliations provide context that guides our efforts. Prior to any decision we make, we always ask ourselves, “how will this impact students?”

Given its history and institutional context, ECC is well-positioned to dramatically impact student success and achieve equity in attainment. The College’s Mission, the demographic shifts within the student and community populations, the current reality of student outcomes, and an opportunity to design a systemic student success framework call us to urgently address issues of equity in our institution. While the College has significant achievement gaps to close, a solid foundation has been laid to execute this plan.

1C: Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Statements
During the Fall 2019 semester, faculty, administrators, and staff developed the following statements with direct and indirect input from students and community members. These statements are used to frame the work of this plan, providing common definitions and tone needed to advance our work from 2019 until the end of 2025.

Equity Statement
As a Leader College, Elgin Community College believes intentional and meaningful inclusion of diverse experiences, backgrounds, and social identities in all aspects of the institution is a moral imperative which deepens and enriches the educational and workplace experience for everyone. At the core of ECC’s mission to improve people’s lives through learning is the recognition that as a community college we are successful only when we are providing access to quality education—as well as appropriate and equitable support in an inclusive environment—to all members of our community. We are aware of the persistent pernicious effects of structural racism, systemic poverty, and other societal barriers on members of our community. As such, the College is committed to the on-going intentional review and design of resources, policies, and procedures to ensure that effective supports exist throughout the student and employee experience. Moreover, the College is dedicated to dismantling the structures and systems, and challenging the attitudes that create or sustain inequities in the first place.

Diversity Statement
Elgin Community College recognizes that diversity is an invaluable resource to teaching, learning, and personal growth. Understanding that the pursuit of excellence requires a diverse
and representative community, the College strives to cultivate an environment that represents our extensive network, while ensuring that it reflects race, age, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, disability status, political affiliation, veteran status, socioeconomic background, and the myriad other expressions of humanity. It is imperative that all communities are full participants in the construction of knowledge and diversity of thought.

**Inclusion Statement**
Elgin Community College recognizes and values its communities’ social, cultural, and geographical differences. The College is committed to ensuring these differences are represented and acknowledged throughout the campus at every level. It is not only a moral imperative but also serves to enrich and strengthen the experiences of students and employees in our institution. We commit to making prominent our value of inclusivity in all institutional decisions that we make.

The College has been inspired by and uses the image in Figure 4 to help convey our mindset on equality, equity, diversity and inclusion. We refer to it as The MAGIC Mindset. ECC’s Multicultural and Global Initiatives Committee’s ("MAGIC") mission is to prepare individuals to succeed in a diverse society by providing and supporting multicultural learning experiences at Elgin Community College and within District 509 with the ultimate goal of creating an inclusive environment at the College. Posters of The MAGIC Mindset are found throughout the campus to serve as a reinforcing reminder. This imagery was adopted and adapted from a NCORE (National Conference on Race and Equity in American Higher Education) workshop presentation and was rolled out June 19, 2017.

**1D: Purpose of the Equity Plan**
The purpose of the Equity Plan is to identify and commit to strategies based on a deep analysis of our institutional data to close the completion gap. The plan includes annual growth targets and report progress toward our goals, which will be shared publicly. We will fold the Equity Plan into the reporting for all our strategic goals which are posted publically in the fall and internally twice a year (interim and annual updates). ECC will work to identify obstacles students face and develop programs and policies that break down unnecessary barriers to college graduation.
1E: Campus Engagement Plan

All members of our campus community were engaged over the course of the development of our ILEA Equity Plan. (The key campus groups consulted were: Administrative team, Faculty Senate, Staff (SSECCA), Deans Council, Student Success Infrastructure, Student Government Association, and President’s Cabinet). The campus stakeholders that were involved in the initial development of the plan were students, faculty, staff, administration, and Board of Trustees. We incorporated feedback from student focus groups and surveys to identify issues and solutions. A cross section of employees comprised our ILEA team which analyzed the data, the current state, the current pilot projects, and who considered new solutions to address equity barriers. Many of the pilot projects already underway or in proposal stages include a cross section of employees and each of these teams were interviewed as part of the plan development to determine how their project fit and how we could support it to scale it up. Once the Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion statements were drafted, our president posted them and invited feedback from all faculty, staff, and administration. The feedback was considered by the team and revisions were made incorporating the various ideas.

A draft of the final report for the December 2019 submission was reviewed in its entirety by the ILEA team. It was presented to the president and board of trustees for a final review and endorsement. The student trustee was included in this feedback as a student representative. The final plan is posted on our website. As it will be a living document, there will be opportunity to continue to engage the entire campus in feedback and updates.

Over 2020, we are committed to surveying the current climate across the faculty, staff, administration, and student body so that we have a baseline measurement and can track our progress over the next five years. A marketing plan will be developed to roll out campus wide beginning in the Fall semester (although it will be changed due to COVID-19 and what will work for a virtual environment). The campaign will begin to define equity and what it means at ECC and how it is incorporated into our daily work. This is still in the early stages of development but it is in our Operating Plan and will be tailored to address our needs based on the assessment results.
2. Current State

2A: Overview

At the start of each fiscal year (July/August), ECC compiles data and reflects upon their impact in terms of program excellence and service delivery. Data is reported in both summative and formative ways through the following:

- Annual Performance Report of Key Institutional Indicators (summative and quantitative)
- Program Review Reports of Department-Level milestones (formative, both quantitative and qualitative)
- Annual Report of Accomplishments in Meeting Goals and Strategies of the ECC Strategic Plan (summative and qualitative)
- Student Success Dashboards (quantitative)

In addition, academic departments and departments/offices within the Division of Student Services and Development review real-time data pertaining to student success and process improvements within their respective areas. These data are contained in the following ways:

- Student Services and Development Annual Reports
- Program Health Scorecards
- Student Success Dashboards

Any of the indicators and milestones/intermediate outcomes listed above can be disaggregated to review differences by characteristics of interest, such as race/ethnicity or age. These efforts allow us to understand inequalities that become the focus of our initiatives and interventions. Graduation and retention are two institutional indicators that we routinely disaggregate, as shown below.

Graduation Rates

Figure 5 illustrates the graduation rates for African-American, Latinx, and White students over the past five cohorts using the first-time, full-time, degree/certificate seeking IPEDS cohorts. The graduation rates reported are at the 150% of normal time to completion and include degrees and certificates.
Figure 5. Graduation Rates by Race/Ethnicity at 150% of Normal Time to Completion

![150% Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity](image)

(Source: 2019 IPEDS Feedback Report)

Table 1. Graduation Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Fall 2011</th>
<th>Fall 2012</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
<th>Fall 2014</th>
<th>Fall 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># in cohort</td>
<td>150% Grad Rate</td>
<td># in cohort</td>
<td>150% Grad Rate</td>
<td># in cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African-American</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graduation rates shown in Table 1 illustrate positive progress among all groups except for the Fall 2015 cohort in which all three groups saw a decline in graduation rates. The graduation rate between White and Latinx students is closer together and higher than the rate for Black/African American students which lags behind. Our current data suggests a need to work on closing graduation rate gaps.
Students receiving Pell have similar graduation rates that are slightly lower than those who do not receive Pell (see Figure 6 and Table 2). We recognize that Pell status is an uncertain indicator of financial status, as many students with financial limitations may or may not receive a Pell grant. For this reason, we are beginning to use alternative FAFSA metrics, such as expected family contribution or unmet need -- particularly for those strategies that rely on financial standing, such as the Complete to Compete Scholarship described in this plan. Additionally, Pell is also an indicator that is captured only one time upon initial enrollment, even though it often changes over time. For this reason, it may not be a reliable indicator of financial standing.

**Persistence Rates**

Using ECC’s interim benchmark data, the Fall-to-Fall persistence rates are listed in Table 3 and Figure 7 by ethnicity and Pell status. While Latinx students have the highest persistence rates, our Black/African American students have the lowest persistence rates. Those who receive Pell persist at higher rates than those students who do not receive Pell.
Implications of Current State
Through ECC’s continuing work with ATD and HLC along with the evolution of the Student Success Infrastructure Council (“SSI”), in reflecting on the current status of student success, ECC is well-positioned to dramatically impact student success and achieve equity in attainment. As the data above illustrate, graduation rates for the three target populations have gaps that need
to be addressed. In other areas, such as persistence rates, one target population (Latinx students) has the highest persistence rates of any group while another target population (Black/African American students) has the lowest persistence rates. Those who receive Pell persist at higher rates than those students who do not receive Pell.

The obvious implication of the current state is to close the gap with African American students. However, despite the fact Latinx students demonstrate higher persistence rates than other races/ethnicities, there is always room for improvement. Our largest population of students are and therefore, we need to continuously assess and reinvent ways of identifying where equity gaps may exist beyond the metrics used in the ILEA Plan. We have to take into account metrics that reflect our student population and where other gaps may exists such as with our part-time students (taking 3-8 credits at a time), adult learners, etc.

2B: Past and Present Strategies

For years, ECC has incorporated institutional structures to address equity attainment across all populations as part of our Strategic Plan. There have been multiple strategies, both past and present, which have ranged from a single event, to pilot programs that have become institutionalized, to complete reorganization of department functions, all in an effort to contribute to improving completion rates for each target student group. Examples of recent efforts making a positive difference are: Early College Credit/Dual Credit; Student Leaders; African American Connections; Accelerated Learning Pathways; and Financial Aid Counselors/Literacy Workshops. Below is a listing of some of the more recent efforts that are making a positive difference:

Early College Credit/Dual Credit

ECC offers secondary partners multiple options for high school students to earn college credit while in high school. Most recently, the college has expanded options for students to enroll in college courses at their high school building. In addition to in-high school dual credit courses being delivered, we continue to offer full-time and part-time general education and career-technical education (CTE) courses on ECC campus.

The Dual Credit Partnerships has grown since becoming an intentional focus. The number of schools that partner, the number of courses offered, and the number of students participating increases every year. This translates into a cost savings in tuition and an increase in the number of credits and even Associate degrees earned. As the Spring 2020 semester ends, we anticipate celebrating another class of Accelerate College (full-time dual credit program) students, 30 in total, graduating with an associate’s degree prior to their high school graduation. This is up from 10 students in 2019. The following are some of the highlights of the program:

- 2019-2020
  - Estimated tuition saved: $891,705
  - Credits earned: 5,923. (*This does not include the credits that may be earned upon completion of courses that are still in session over summer months due to COVID-19.*
  - Students participated: 620 (103% increase)
- Increased number of college courses delivered via the in-high school dual credit program model to 9.
- Established a Dual Credit Advisory Council, comprised of District representatives, who have identified multiple methods to improve processes and communication.
- Continued dual credit courses online during the COVID-19 “shelter at home” process and maintained student and teacher support and rigor

- 2018-2019
  - Estimated tuition saved: $672,696.00
  - Credit hours earned: 5,050
  - Students participated: 305
  - Expanded in-high school options for English 101 and 102

- 2017-2018
  - Estimated tuition saved: $551,604
  - Credit hours earned: 4,276
  - Students participated: 280
  - Visited South Texas College to learn about their robust dual credit programs (offerings at 78 high schools)

**Student Leaders**
Student Life is very proud of our co-curricular offerings to students and the emphasis we place on student success inside the classroom to complement their out-of-class experiences. Students Leaders perform higher compared to All Students in average hours completed, percentage of hours completed and grade point average, as displayed in Table 4.

Table 4. Student Leader Performance Academic Year 2018-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall 2018</th>
<th>Spring 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avg. Hours Completed</td>
<td>% of Hours Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Leaders</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2019 # of FYP and Student Life Events</th>
<th>Attendees (not unique attendees)*</th>
<th>Exclusively Service Events</th>
<th>Exclusively Multicultural Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>907</td>
<td>17,223</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Same student could have attended multiple events

**African-American Connections**
The African-American Fall and Spring Connection events were created to develop and foster a supportive, academically-engaged community of African-American students. By connecting students with peers, faculty, staff, and administrators committed to their success, we are setting the foundation for continued and intentional engagement throughout the academic year as well as connecting students with resources and support early on during their programs.
Members of The Black Student Achievers ("BSA") attempt a higher number of credits, participate at a higher rate of full-time status, have a higher GPA, and better retention rates compared to non-BSA African American students from semester to semester and from year to year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Length of event</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Attendees (FY 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
<td>1 Day</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
<td>1 Day</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. African-American Connection Event Attendance Academic Year 2018-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Number of Students</th>
<th>Average Age</th>
<th>% New</th>
<th>% Female</th>
<th>Credits Attempted (avg.)</th>
<th>% Full time</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>Cumulative GPA Before Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Comparison between African American students who are members of the Black Student Achievers and non-BSA members: Academic Year 2017-2018.

Accelerated Developmental Pathways
For several years, ECC has delivered accelerated models of developmental education that reduce time and cost for students who place into developmental math, reading, or English. Gains made by these programs continue to inform future scaling and institutionalization, and many are reported in the college's 2019 Aspen Prize for Community College Excellence application.

- **Hybrid Math (MTH-099).** The MTH-099 course at ECC blends separate basic and intermediate algebra courses (each four credit hours) into a single 6-credit hour hybrid course. Students placing on the higher end of the ALEKS math placement test but below college-level are eligible to take MTH-099 and thus save time and tuition. First implemented in fall 2011, ECC has found that students taking this course are as successful as students in stand-alone developmental courses. Over the 8 years that MTH-099 has run, approximately 140 students enroll each year in it versus in stand-alone MTH-096 and MTH-098 courses. Results show that students in MTH-099 are not only more successful than similarly placed students in stand-alone courses (71% earn
grades of C or higher versus 64% among students who enroll in MTH-096) but they are also less likely to withdraw (8% withdraw from MTH-099 versus 16% for MTH-096, on average). Moreover, 70% of students completing MTH-099 have gone on to enroll in a college-level math course versus only 31% of students in the traditional MTH-096-to-MTH-098 sequence.

- **Practical Math (MTH-095).** Similar to MTH-099, the MTH-095 (Preparatory Math for General Education) course serves as an alternate to the traditional MTH-096-to-MTH-098 developmental sequence. This course is specifically intended for students who only intend to enroll as far as MTH-102 or MTH-104, the college’s general education college-level math courses. MTH-095 was first implemented in fall 2016, and ECC has found students who complete the course are successful. Over the 3 years this course has run, approximately 150 developmental math students enrolled in it each year instead of in the MTH-096-to-MTH-098 sequence. Students enrolling in MTH-095 have a slightly higher average course success (67% earn grades of C or higher compared to 64% for MTH-096) and lower withdrawal rate (10% for MTH-095 versus 16% for MTH-096, on average). Finally, 40% of students completing MTH-099 have gone on to enroll in a college-level math course versus only 31% of developmental students in the traditional MTH-096-to-MTH-098 sequence.

- **Accelerated Learning Program (ALP).** Since 2013, ECC’s ALP program combines developmental and college-level writing courses back-to-back in a single term. Rather than taking two semesters to complete ENG-098 and ENG-101, students who place into ENG-098 are eligible to enroll in a hybrid section of ENG-101 and ENG-098 together. The ENG-101 section is a traditional offering of college-level writing that includes students who place directly into ENG-101 and students who elect to enroll in the ALP program. The ENG-098 class section always meets immediately following the ENG-101 class, and is framed a source of additional support for material learned in the ENG-101 portion of the course.

Over the 6 years that this course has been offered, the success rate (grades of C or better) of students in ALP sections of ENG-098 has been similar to or higher than comparable success in traditional stand-alone ENG-098 sections. When comparing the aggregate success rate in ALP sections of ENG-098 (77%) to that of traditional ENG-098 sections (74%), we see that students in the accelerated course are not adversely impacted by the accelerated nature of the combined course. We also find that less than two-thirds (62%) of students who complete the traditional ENG-098 course ever move on to enroll in ENG-101 in a subsequent semester. Due to the co-enrolled sequencing of the ALP program, 100% of students in ALP ENG-098 courses enroll in the first college-level English course. Finally, in their ENG-101 coursework, students in the ALP program are just as successful as students who move on to ENG-101 from a traditional ENG-098 course. The aggregate success rate for ALP students in ENG-101 has been 75%, compared to the aggregate success rate of 78% for students following the traditional course sequence. Given the program’s success, all ENG-098 offerings transitioned to ALP sections beginning in the 2018-19 academic year.
• **College Literacy (LTC-099).** LTC-099 is an additional accelerated literacy course, which combines four developmental courses for reading and/or writing into a single 5-credit hour course. This course was first offered in fall 2016 and is especially advantageous for students who place two levels below college-level in reading and English. For such students, it is possible to meet ECC’s minimum competency requirement for college-level English with just one course (5 credit hours) and in one semester instead of the four courses (12 combined hours) and at least 2 semesters that the traditional developmental course pathway would have required. In evaluation of the effectiveness of LTC-099, the college has focused on student performance in high-enrolled, freshman college-level courses that have college-level reading and writing requirements as prerequisites. Our analyses show that students who place into both developmental reading and writing are much more likely to enroll in a college-level course within the first two years (86%) than students who enroll in the traditional developmental sequences (67%). We also find that students who place into both developmental reading and writing are more likely to be successful in their subsequent college-level courses if they complete LTC-099 (74%) than if they complete the traditional developmental course sequence (64%).

**Financial Aid Counselors/Literacy Workshops**
Our Student Financial Services Department is invested in student success and recognizes financial problems are distracting and worrisome for students. Sound financial health has been proven to be a catalyst to a student successfully completing college, but is often overlooked. The College’s Financial $marts Financial Literacy Program is a financial education program first implemented in 2009 to help teach critical financial literacy skills. It reflects a joint partnership between the College’s Financial Aid office and the Student Services and Development Division to educate students, families, and community members about financial literacy – how to prepare a budget, how to manage debt, and improve understanding of financial aid and student loans. Prior to its launch, ECC students were borrowing more through student loans; the cohort default rate was rising; and students were struggling to remain enrolled due to financial barriers. Mandatory one-on-one loan advising for all students seeking student loans was added to this program in 2012. Today, Financial $marts encompasses pre-collegiate non-profit youth organizations and individual presentations at area high schools that work closely with ECC’s Alliance for College Readiness. This program has seen a nearly 60% reduction in both the number of and total amount of student loans taken on by current students between 2009-2010 and 2017-2018. The 3-year student loan default rate has similarly been cut approximately in half since the program was first implemented.
Financial Aid 101 helps participants learn how to pay for college and more. Financial Literacy 101 is an engaging multimedia experience that combines a robust curriculum tailored to the needs of students with a learning model designed to actually prevent financial problems before they start. No registration or payment is required. Registrants can select their user type based on general or by specific student cohorts or student support service type (TRIO or Upward Bound). One-on-one, private, and individual financial counseling is also available by appointment.

Summary and Lessons Learned
Over the years, we have gained some general insights and lesson learned across all interventions. While we believe that many of our improvement strategies help students who exhibit gaps, we have not always developed them with a focus on closing gaps per se. At ECC, groups exhibiting gaps are not limited to the three groups targeted by ILEA but also include adult students, students enrolled in online courses and programs, and students who are undecided about their program of study—all of whom are the focus on actions occurring presently in our Operating Plan. However, interventions for targeted populations are not always scalable because the groups are so small and interventions are too specific. We are also shifting away from using deficit terms like “gap” and are approaching these statistics as opportunities for growth. As improvement strategies are integrated, we noticed we tend to do well in planning but do not always clearly define expected outcomes or document metrics to know whether a strategy is working, and projects can become siloed and associated with one person, team, department, etc., without intentional integration.
3. Future Vision

3A. Goal

The goal of ILEA Equity Plan is, over the course of five years, to incrementally close the completion gap among African American, Latinx, and Pell Grant recipients so that there is no difference from the other students. The targets are further defined in Section 3C. Interim Benchmark Goals. We are committed to reaching these targets by implementing the strategies presented in Section 4. Institutional Strategies.

3B. Vision

Our Equity Plan is integrated into ECC’s overarching five year Strategic Plan for 2018 to 2022 (Table 7). The four main themes of our Strategic Plan are: Equity and Learning; Holistic Programming; Community Partnerships; and Service Excellence and Collaboration. The themes have a total of 14 strategies. A more detailed annual Operating Plan further drills down these strategies into 21 specific actions to be completed every year over the five year cycle. For example, completing the ILEA Plan was action item in service of Strategy 1: Create equitable learning environments to ensure students acquire the knowledge and skills needed for academic and career success. The Operating Plan is developed by the Cabinet with the input from students, employees, and external partners. It is intended to guide operations and budgets of ECC departments, offices, and committees. It is also used as a framework for tracking progress and reporting. The plan is a work in progress and reexamined periodically as the college deploys the ECC Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan is posted on our website and is displayed on posters throughout campus and invites comments to be sent to an email box for consideration.

Table 7. 2018-2022 Strategic Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme: EQUITY AND LEARNING</th>
<th>Theme: HOLISTIC PROGRAMMING</th>
<th>Theme: COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS</th>
<th>Theme: SERVICE EXCELLENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal: Identify an expand practices to raise academic achievement and completion</td>
<td>Goal: Strategically build and maintain enrollment and purposeful pathways</td>
<td>Goal: Advance relationships that benefit the college, students, and partnering organizations</td>
<td>Goal: Instill a culture of service excellence and collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy 1: Create equitable learning environments to ensure students acquire the knowledge and skills needed for academic and career success</td>
<td>Strategy 4: Strengthen outreach, recruitment, retention, and completion of key target populations</td>
<td>Strategy 7: Prepare students and ensure successful transitions through the educational pipeline (preschool through bachelor’s degree)</td>
<td>Strategy 11: Provide relevant continuing education opportunities for employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy 2: Develop students’ self-advocacy skills and professional behaviors</td>
<td>Strategy 5: Routinely assess and adjust college practices to ensure students make informed decisions</td>
<td>Strategy 8: Strengthen student learning connections outside the classroom</td>
<td>Strategy 12: Improve recruitment, hiring, and onboarding of new employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy 3: Study and model research-based teaching practices that elevate student learning</td>
<td>Strategy 6: Develop an institution-wide approach to class scheduling and the efficient delivery of services</td>
<td>Strategy 9: Leverage community and workforce partnerships to develop resources and secure funding that supports program and student needs</td>
<td>Strategy 13: Strengthen cross-departmental communication and opportunities for dialog and reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strategy 10: Design and structure programs in ways that respond to community and workforce needs</td>
<td>Strategy 14: Systematically use evidence to guide academic and operational Improvements and redirect resources for maximum impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3C. Interim Benchmark Goals

Ultimately, as part of PCC’s ILEA initiative, along with 27 other colleges and universities in Illinois, we are committed to eliminating racial and socio-economic achievement gaps while aggressively increasing completion rates among these target populations by 2025. After identifying each of the gaps in the Leading Indicators and Degree Completion metrics, we had to determine what targets to use that would demonstrate that the gaps are closed. This posed a couple of challenging issues: 1) Our data show that some target populations referred to in this plan, e.g., Latinx students, do not always perform behind other populations, rather, they set the bar in terms of performance; 2) We recognize that there are multiple approaches we could take in calculating the gaps and targets.

There is a certain degree, based on the ILEA spreadsheet, to which one may assume that the White students are always going to have the highest rates of completion. This is not the case across the board for ECC students. This issue was a point of discussion as we grappled with whether to follow the ILEA template for ethnicity groups (African American, Latinx, and White only) or to tweak it a bit to reflect a broader range of ethnicity groups (include Asian, Native American, etc.) or to create one group of All Other Students combining an aggregate of the remaining students outside those three groups. This introduced issues with looking at very small numbers (which still exists somewhat as the African American population is small in comparison to other student ethnicity groups). In the end, we decided to use the breakouts given by ILEA as it does represent the majority of students.

Once we were decided on keeping the breakouts as given, we needed to set the targets. What does it mean to close the gap? Can we use data to select our targets? Are these statistically significant gaps and targets? After analyzing a couple of potential approaches (including 1. using aggregate averages and the lowest statistically significant improvement, and 2. selecting an acceptable but somewhat arbitrary target across all student groups irrespective of current performance), we decided to take current performance into consideration and simply use the best performing student group as the target to reach for all groups. If all student groups are the same, the gap will be closed. We recognize, however, that all students, and not just the target populations, will benefit from some of the strategies. Therefore, even the student group with the best performance, on which the target is based, would be expected to improve and potentially exceed the target we set. If so, would this just create a new gap? Also, for some of the lower performing target populations, the targets set require significant improvement and may not be realistic in terms of typical goal setting processes. Nonetheless, we decided to select targets based on current data and define closing the gap as when the target populations reach the current top performance, even if they still may be lower than the highest group in five years (especially if that group improves). The team determined while this is a possibility, the current goal is to improve the target populations, and for this reason, we extrapolate using current data.

The goal is to bring each of the targeted student populations up to the level of our highest performing student group. We set interim benchmarks based on the difference between each
student group’s current performance and the future target and divided the difference by the number of reporting years evenly. The increase from year to year is in equal intervals. It is likely, that real change may not happen in equal intervals, rather we will see slower movement early on as some strategies are further developed or not yet implemented, and in a few years start to see greater amounts of improvement.

For example, the Table 8 depicts the first of ILEA’s benchmarks, i.e., credits accumulated first fall. Part-time Pell students are the highest demographic group for this benchmark when looking at part-time standing, and White students are the highest group for full-time standing. That is, 50% of the population of Pell students achieve the part-time threshold of at least 7 hours set by ILEA, and 16% of White students achieve the threshold of at least 15 credits. Therefore, all other part-time student groups not at 50% have a gap to close, as do all other full-time student groups not at 16%. Over the course of the next five years, interim targets are set so that at the end of the five years, the target is 50% or 16%, no matter the starting point, for each student group.

Table 8. Interim Benchmarks for Credits Accumulated First Fall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Year</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Credits accumulated first fall</th>
<th>Part-time students (at least 7)</th>
<th>Full-time students (at least 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Latinx Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>Latinx Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>White Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>White Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pell Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pell Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Pell Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Pell Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Fall 2018 (benchmark year)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Fall 2020</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Fall 2022</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Fall 2023</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Fall 2024</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2024 (Target)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2024 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)

The full set of targets and interim benchmarks for all seven of the leading indicators and completion metrics are in the Appendix.
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4. Institutional Strategies

Elgin Community College will execute seven strategies specifically to improve persistence and to close the gap on completion rates. The process for selecting these strategies included a thorough review of institutional data, ILEA team member discussions, and input from college faculty, staff, and students. The ILEA team considered interventions already vetted and developed in the form of pilot projects that could be expanded to focus on the target areas (African Americans, Latinx, low-income students) and be fully institutionalized within five years. In addition to these improvement projects, as an institution, our culture is shifting from making institutional policies, procedures, and processes based on what makes sense to departmental functions to one that is openly reviewing and revising said practices through an equity lens to remove systemic barriers impeding student success. As we make this cultural shift we will continue to address barriers which further influence our chosen strategies.

As the team worked through these strategies, it became apparent that there were not as many separate and different strategies for each specific group, rather the strategies were overarching and typically applicable for more than one of the targeted groups. There is overlap even if there is a unique spin for each separate group. The ILEA team ultimately determined that the most comprehensive approach to address each student is on an individual level which will drive us to adopt a holistic case management model (Strategy 6). While all these strategies have been selected specifically to keep our focus on equity, each serves the ECC 2018-2022 Strategic Plan that drives our daily work and our mission To Improve People’s Lives Through Learning. Aligning the Equity Plan strategies with our overall Strategic Plan provides added support and commitment to ensuring the implementation of the strategies and meeting the goal to close the gap.

STRATEGY OVERVIEW

There are a total of six direct student-facing ILEA strategies and one indirect student-facing strategy. The same strategy may be used for more than one targeted student population. Listed by targeted student population, the following are the strategies for each:

Direct Student Facing Strategies

- African American Students
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 1: MAP - Mandatory Advising Program
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 2: OER - Open Educational Resources
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 3: Mentoring (TRIUMPH and Peer to Peer)
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 6: Holistic Case Management Model

- Latinx Students
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 1: MAP - Mandatory Advising Program
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 2: OER - Open Educational Resources
  - ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 3: Mentoring (TRIUMPH)
o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 4: OLAS Expansion and Outreach
o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 6: Holistic Case Management Model

- Pell Recipients
  o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 1: MAP - Mandatory Advising Program
  o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 2: OER – Open Educational Resources
  o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 5: Complete to Compete Grant
  o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 6: Holistic Case Management Model

Indirect Student Facing Strategy
While most of the ILEA Plan strategies provide direct student support, we acknowledge we need to educate ourselves on the best ways to support students. The way we do that is through professional development which is an indirect strategy.
  o ILEA Equity Plan Strategy 7: TIDE - Teaching/Learning, Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity group formation

Strategy Alignment
Each of the ILEA Equity Plan Strategies aligns with one or more of our campus-wide Operating Plan strategies that support ECC’s Strategic Plan for 2018 to 2022 as shown in Table 9.
Table 9. ILEA Strategy Alignment to ECC Strategic Plan 2018 TO 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme: EQUITY AND LEARNING</th>
<th>Goal: Identify an expand practices to raise academic achievement and completion</th>
<th>Theme: HOLISTIC PROGRAMMING</th>
<th>Goal: Strategically build and maintain enrollment and purposeful pathways</th>
<th>Theme: COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS</th>
<th>Goal: Advance relationships that benefit the college, students, and partnering organizations</th>
<th>Theme: SERVICE EXCELLENCE</th>
<th>Goal: Instill a culture of service excellence and collaboration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILEA Equity Plan Strategy</td>
<td>Strategy 1: Create equitable learning environment s to ensure students acquire the knowledge and skills needed for academic and career success</td>
<td>Strategy 2: Develop students' self-advocacy skills and professional behaviors</td>
<td>Strategy 3: Study and model research-based teaching practices that elevate student learning</td>
<td>Strategy 4: Strengthen outreach, recruitment, retention, and completion of key target populations</td>
<td>Strategy 5: Routinely assess and adjust college practices to ensure students make informed decisions</td>
<td>Strategy 6: Develop an institution-wide approach to class scheduling and the efficient delivery of services</td>
<td>Strategy 7: Prepare students and ensure successful transitions through the educational pipeline (preschool through bachelor's degree)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OER</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring: TRIUMPH</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring: Peer to Peer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLAS</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete to Compete Grant</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic Case Management Model</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIDE</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIFIC STRATEGY DESCRIPTIONS

ECC is committed to implementing, evolving, and institutionalizing the following specific strategies. During 2019, each strategy is in a different stage of development. Some are brand new and will require great planning in the next year while others are well established and proven strategies that we are expanding in order to close the gaps by 2025.

ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 1: MANDATORY ADVISING PROGRAM (MAP)

In an effort to promote and foster an environment of academic success, the ECC Academic Advising Team instituted a Mandatory Advising Program (MAP) Pilot in February 2019. Studies have shown that students who meet with an advisor either “sometimes” or “often” had significantly higher persistence rates than those who did not (Klepfer & Hull, 2012). The goal of MAP is to ensure students meet with an advisor, especially at key academic milestones. MAP targeted all current, full-time, transfer degree students who were on track to complete 24-36 credit hours by the end of the Spring 2019 semester. Seven hundred and twenty five (725) students were identified to participate in the pilot. As of Fall 2019, 92% of students (667) had completed their MAP appointment.

The MAP appointment includes:

- Identification of academic goals (reassessment, if necessary)
- Discussion and/or confirmation of program of study
- Transfer/pathway guidance
- Courses planned for upcoming semester(s)
- Create “next steps” towards successful completion
- Discuss overcoming barriers to success
- If undecided-referred to Career Development
- Discussion of success strategies
- Access to Time Management Module, an online tutorial in Desire2Learn, the College’s learning management system, to teach students how to manage their time

During their entire enrollment, 94% of MAP group students met with an advisor compared to 69% of Other Spring 2019 Students. While 86% met with their assigned advisor during the advising year compared to 37%. Of those who met with any advisor, the average number of appointments this advising year was 3.0 for MAP compared to 2.2 (Table 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10. Map Pilot Advising Appointment Results</th>
<th>MAP Group</th>
<th>Other Spring 2019 Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever met with any advisor</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met with assigned advisor this Advising Year</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of those who've met with any advisor, average number appointments this Adv. Year</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To assess the first pilot group of MAP students from Spring 2019, a comparison group was created with students who fell just outside the credit boundaries. These two groups were similar in gender, race/ethnicity, age, and academic success. Retention rates and advising appointments were higher among the MAP group than the comparison group.

As Table 11 displays, the MAP students enrolled in Fall 2019 at a rate of 80% compared to 67% for the comparison group. This retention rate increase can be seen within minority student groups as well. Latinx students enrolled 13 percentage points higher and Black/African-American students saw a 32 percentage point increase.

As part of the ILEA Equity Plan, mandatory advising will be continued. The strategy is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:
- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through their pathway
- Transfer to subsequent educational
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
- Student mastery of learning outcomes
- Enrollment of identified target populations
- Degree of fit between students’ goals, skills, and experiences and college programs and services
- Readiness of incoming students
- Preparedness of graduates for transfer and/or employment

**ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 2: OER – OPEN EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES**

Open Educational Resources (“OER”) are high quality educational content/materials that are free to students. OER is a growing movement in higher education. It is broadly defined as “the open provision of educational resources, enabled by information and communication technologies, for consultation, use, and adaptation by a community of users for noncommercial purposes” (UNESCO, 2002, p. 24). At ECC, OER will “encompass free, online learning content, software tools, and accumulated digital curricula that are not restricted by copyright license and available to retain, reuse, revise, remix, and redistribute” (Hilton, Fischer, Wiley, & Williams, 2016). By removing the cost of these materials access to higher education will improve, costs will be reduced, student performance will be improved and more students will complete in less time and with less debt.
We believe this strategy will improve equal access to higher education, improve affordability and decrease debt. Article 26 of the United Nations’ Universal Declaration of Human Rights has language embedded within it concerning the importance of equal access to higher education. In our own country, state, and school district, people do not have equal access to higher education. Higher education is structured in a way that mostly serves to reinforce social inequality. Of course this issue is broader than just textbooks, but textbooks and school supplies are an area of costs that do impact affordability and therefore accessibility, and the cost of which is something that we can work to decrease or remove. The cost of textbooks has risen 3-4 times the rate of inflation since 1980, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics http://www.bls.gov/cpi/. At ECC, we estimate it costs students $3,000 in textbooks and materials to complete an associate’s degree. We estimate that our typical student completes their degree with just over $3,062 of federal debt. By moving to OER we could make higher education more accessible and decrease student debt.

Research supports a positive impact on Federal Pell Grant recipient student performance. In a study of 21,822 students, “OER improve[d] end-of-course grades and decrease[d] DFW (D, F, and Withdrawal letter grades) rates for all students. They also improve[d] course grades at greater rates and decrease[d] DFW rates at greater rates for Pell recipient students, part-time students, and populations historically underserved by higher education.” (Colvard, Watson & Park, 2018). The study results also demonstrated a narrowing in the gap in academic performance between white and non-white student groups following OER adoption.

To successfully implement OER, faculty are provided support from librarians, the copyright officer, and instructional technology/distance learning coordinators. A stipend will likely be determined to financially support the development efforts of those research and implement OER material for their coursework. This strategy was first proposed in the Fall 2019. A committee has been formed to design and implement a pilot program and faculty members will be solicited to join that pilot.

The OER strategy is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:

- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through coursework
- Transfer to subsequent educational
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
- Student mastery of learning outcomes
- Enrollment of identified target populations

ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 3: MENTORING
Mentoring programs, in general, offer a structured forum for students to build relationships; openly discuss concerns and issues; be connected to valuable resources; make meaningful
connections so they are engaged and more likely to continue; and provide access to positive role-models. These benefits lead students to higher self-esteem and levels of academic achievement. And, the connections made can result in employment upon college completion. Our Equity Plan has two mentoring programs: TRIUMPH and Peer to Peer.

**TRIUMPH**

ECC is proud to offer the Transforming and Impacting Undergraduate Men Pursuing Higher Education (TRIUMPH) Program to increase the number of males of color graduating from community colleges. The program helps male students of color realize their potential, and equips them with the tools to succeed in their academic, personal, and future professional lives. TRIUMPH, which was started by Triton College in 2014, is now a partnership between three community colleges: Triton, Waubonsee, and Elgin. The partnership allows the schools to pool resources and services, and to create synergies that can be shared among this small, yet very specific set of students (especially African-American males) who face unique challenges through the education process. For example, students lack skills of problem solving, so if their car stops running or becomes unreliable, they live with it and just do not get to where they need to go. This program works with them to find solutions like forming a network for rides, planning to buy a car by budgeting and understanding how long they would have to work to afford a car; or getting and comparing multiple quotes for necessary repairs and how to pay for it, etc. These students often have manual labor type jobs that affects their energy and ability to focus. Many have many stressful life events and stressful home situation with which they are dealing.

The TRIUMPH Program is open to any male student of color who is interested. Upon joining, the student first becomes a prospective scholar and then becomes an inducted scholar. To become an inducted scholar, a student must: enroll in six credit hours, complete ten hours of community service, be in good financial standing with the school, and attend four workshops. The program offers mentoring, networking, study sessions, volunteering, and service projects to cultivate skills in these areas:

- Conflict resolution
- Emotion management
- Financial literacy
- Goal setting
- Public speaking
- Time management
- Writing

An example of an opportunity TRIUMPH scholars will have is an invitation to attend a half-day conference on Men of Color in January 2020 (co-sponsored by all three colleges in the partnership). The keynote presenter will be Luke Wood, PhD, Associate Professor of Community College Leadership and the Director of the Doctoral Program Concentration in Community College Leadership at San Diego State University (SDSU).
A highlight of the program is being inducted as a scholar after meeting the requirements. A ceremony is held at the end of each semester and members earn their cardigan sweaters, which are coveted and worn with pride. Once a scholar, students are paired with a mentor to prepare to transition outside of ECC. An emphasis is placed on building a personal brand (how one speaks and how one treats people) so they can enter the next stage of their education or career with the necessary skills to navigate life’s challenges as well rounded individuals poised for success.

National research shows that males of color who start college as a freshman are less likely than either sex of any race or ethnicity to complete their degree. At ECC, we found this to be consistent. Our Black/African American males had the greatest gap. Whether being compared to female Black/African American students or being compared to other men of color (Latinx), Black/African American male students’ completion rates are disproportionately lower and have not improve over time as we have seen with other student subgroups. We learned from student focus groups conducted at ECC in 2018 that male students of color sometimes feel they are targets of microaggression in the form of stereotypes based on pejorative perceptions (Solórzano et al., 2000). With the TRIUMPH mentoring program we believe we will be able to reach and engage these students to close the gap on completion. Mentoring is a way to give individual attention and connection with each student.

TRIUMPH is a new strategy for the college and was first implemented in Fall 2019. During this planning semester, there are already 13 students in the program. Recruiting efforts are continuing. By the second year, participation is expected to be 100 men of color.

The TRIUMPH program is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:

• Student completion of course and programs
• Student progression through coursework
• Student job attainment
• Transfer to subsequent educational institutions
• Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
• Student mastery of learning outcomes
• Enrollment of identified target populations
• Degree of fit between students’ goals, skills, and experiences and college programs and services
• Preparedness of graduates for transfer and/or employment
• Employer and community satisfaction with college programs, services and graduates

Peer to Peer Mentoring Program
The Peer Mentoring Program is intended for both female and male African American students and runs for the entire academic year. Student participants serve as both the coaches
(mentors) and scholars (mentees). Students must participate for at least one year as a scholar before applying to become a coach. Coaches are selected based on individual readiness and being interviewed. This is a small program and students mostly learn about it through word of mouth; there is some marketing and students can be approached to join as well. The role of the coach is to go beyond providing accountability academically. It is to help scholars make connections, become engrained in the ECC culture, and to provide an opportunity check-in with students to see how they are doing. Mentors are able to help address issues beyond academics and connect scholars to resources. This student population tends to be more open with peers than perhaps going to faculty, staff or administration.

For the fall of 2019, the program had 7 coaches and 7 scholars. In addition to providing mentoring, the program brings in speakers on subjects like “how to talk to a professor” and “how to be an excellent student in the classroom.” Speakers may be ECC faculty and staff or from the community at large. Although data gathering is sparse, prior attempts to pilot peer to peer mentoring have suggested its benefit for student persistence. In the history of the Peer to Peer Mentoring Program, of the 30 student scholars, 23 have completed the program; 89% of the students retained from Fall 2018 to Spring 2019; there was only a 5% withdraw rate (compared to ECC rate of 18%).

As part of the ECC Equity Plan, we plan to continue to grow the peer mentoring program. While this student population is a smaller percentage of the college’s demographic, it is still an important program to support student persistence, completion, and success.

In Fiscal Year 2016, Elgin Community College’s Student Success Infrastructure Equity Coordinating Council approved a pilot project to sponsor a part-time coordinator for an African American peer mentoring program. It is known that higher education often serves as the best means of social mobility available to our nation’s youth, and that attending college is associated with more stable patterns of employment and higher earnings. Given the success ECC found with its Latinx student organization on campus, there was a desire to model the same set up for African Americans in Student. As a result, a part-time coordinator position was approved and the peer mentoring program began in 2017. Both the coordinator position and the peer mentoring program proved to be valuable and the coordinator position became full time in 2018. The program began its second full year in the 2019-2020 academic year.

The Peer Mentoring program is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:

- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through coursework
- Student job attainment
- Transfer to subsequent educational institutions
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
• Student mastery of learning outcomes
• Enrollment of identified target populations
• Degree of fit between students’ goals, skills, and experiences and college programs and services
• Preparedness of graduates for transfer and/or employment

ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 4: OLAS EXPANSION AND OUTREACH

ECC is proud to be a Hispanic Serving Institution “HSI”. The Organization of Latin American Students (“OLAS”) is the second largest student organization on campus and historically has had a rich, deeply engrained, and active presence. While Latinx students are part of the gap across the higher educational system, at ECC, they are close to on par with or better than White students on some benchmarks. For example, Figure 9 illustrates that 3-year completion rates for full-time Latinx students are typically 8 to 10 points behind completion rates for Full-time White student, with a 4 to 5 point completion rate difference between these groups when the completion window is extended to 4. However, when comparing Part-time Latinx students to Part-time White students, there are no such gaps, with Latinx students completing at similar or better rates than White students years as illustrated in Figure 10.

Despite little to no completion gaps for Latinx students, there is a sense we want to do more and we believe focusing on outreach through OLAS, given the large population of students, is important. In recent focus groups with Latinx and Undocumented student groups, most students told us that they knew of ECC as a Hispanic-Serving Institution, but that their day-to-day experiences at the college did not make this obvious to them or other students. Both African American and Latinx student groups highlighted a continuing difficulty with finding timely information about college policies and practices. Latinx students also highlighted the importance of their family and community relationships as significant support structures to their overall success in college.

During the first year of the five year plan, we will identify strategies to implement over the following four years. Although this is a well-established student entity at ECC, we plan to improve outreach in order to impact this significant population through our Student Services guidance and support. Therefore, it is not yet known exactly how or what is going to be done specifically, just that there will be more dedicated staff and resources to determining the best outreach strategies. Some possible ideas as we consider operating budgets over the next five years may be more dedicated academic advisors for Latinx students, more dedicated staff to support OLAS, working with recruiting for representation, and creating an environment where we routinely talk about data and intentionally bring key groups together to strategize. We are also examining successful programs at other colleges to incorporate best practices. For example, programs that focus on providing support in the areas of academic advising, tutoring, vocational, career, personal and financial counseling, and providing an environmental support system relevant to the development of a positive self-image and a feeling of belonging.
Figure 9. 3 Year Graduations Rates for Full and Part Time Students by Race/Ethnicity
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(Source: ECC Institutional Data, Fall 2019)

Figure 10. 4 Year Graduations Rates for Full and Part Time Students by Race/Ethnicity
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(Source: ECC Institutional Data, Fall 2019)
The OLAS Expansion and Outreach strategy is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:
- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through coursework
- Student job attainment
- Transfer to subsequent educational institutions
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
- Enrollment of identified target populations
- Preparedness of graduates for transfer and/or employment
- Responsiveness to student and community needs

**ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 5: COMPLETE TO COMPETE GRANT**

The purpose of the Complete to Compete Grant strategy is to give support to financially disadvantaged students who are most at-risk of not completing their degrees compared to their peers.

Born out of the desire of the Foundation Board to want to do more for students, the question, “What problem must be solved?” was asked. The foundation used volunteers in a call campaign to stopped out students in order to better understand why they stopped out so close to completion. A common response was due to a lack financial resources. This feedback, coupled with the Strategic Plan which guides every day decisions, the answer became clear: provide financial support for those students close to completing their 60 hours for a degree who are at highest risk of stopping out (based on predictive analytics) for reasons outside of academics. For example, students may have to work more to afford school and regular living expenses or child care, so they are unable to attend school full-time.

As this idea was further explored, it was determined it wasn’t enough to pay for the direct costs to attend (i.e., credits), rather the need is to offset income so the student did not have to work as much and could focus on school. Therefore, money from the Complete to Compete grant is allowed to be spent on ancillary costs (e.g., bills, day care, etc.). It is “no strings attached” as long as it is going to help the student finish school.

This grant has been in development since January, 2019, and the first student recipients will receive their awards in Fall 2020. During Spring 2020, eligible students will be identified and an invitation to apply will be sent. Student eligibility requirements are to: have a least 45 credit hours completed by the end of Spring 2020; be able to finish within a year (end of 2021). Based on the requirements, there are approximately 350 students that will be invited to apply.

As part of the selection criteria, a composite score will be calculated based on a set of characteristics used to identify those least likely to complete without an intervention. Some of
the variables in the composite score will be Pell eligibility, credits completed, 2.0+ accumulative GPA, semester GPA prior to completing 45 credits, EFC (FAFSA calculated Expected Family Contribution), and unmet financial need (total direct and indirect costs to attend). (All completed applications that are not awarded the Complete to Compete Grant will go into the general scholarship pool.) We anticipate the first cohort to have EFC of less than $1,000, be part-time, have an average accumulative GPA of 2.8 but declining, taken four years to reach credit requirement, and be the average age of 25.

The inaugural grant is expected to be awarded to 20 students. Awardees will be required to meet with an advisor at least two times a semester, develop an Educational Plan, check-in with faculty on a regular basis, and maintain good standing (2.0 GPA or above). The first year, $120,000 is projected, giving each student approximately $6000. The project is funded by a foundation fundraising campaign. In the second and third year the goal is to scale it up. Eventually, a $3,000,000 endowment will aim to sustain the fund and be extended to more students. Also, up to $1,000 will be given toward debt to help students start out debt free after completion (part of the overall $6,000 maximum disbursement).

The Complete to Compete Grant is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:

- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through coursework
- Student job attainment
- Transfer to subsequent educational institutions
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
- Enrollment of identified target populations

ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 6: HOLISTIC CASE MANAGEMENT MODEL
The purpose is to further evolve our academic advising into a case management model, which is relationship-based, student-centered, data-driven, and holistic. We will achieve this model by introducing two new resources: predictive analytics software and Student Success Coaches.

In 2014, the College reorganized our approach from academic counseling to academic advising with a shift toward case management. In 2019, we implemented MAP (Mandatory Advising Program, see Strategy 1 above). The MAP has been successful but we are challenged by large caseloads and the level of need varies greatly across the student body. Current academic advisors have enormous caseloads. Industry best practices recommend 300 active students per advisor while ECC advisors can have 800-900 active students and 1300-1400 non-active. To successfully advise that many students, our advisors have to be very targeted in their communications, have to understand who their students are, and be able to engage and build a relationship with those students. Identifying students for interventions is also still challenging.
Much of the onus is on highly engaged faculty who are willing to alert advising of potential need.

Technology is going to aid advisors in both targeting their communications and identifying their most vulnerable students so they are better able to prioritize their limited time with those students. New early alert software has been selected from a vendor. Using an algorithm of our institutional data both static (standardized test scores, high school GPA, etc.) and dynamic (attendance, current class grade, mid-term grade, etc.) all students will receive a predictive score unique to themselves based on key factors: attended financial aid appointment, class attendance, etc. Based on the predictive analytics assigned, outreach, and interventions will be administered. Scores will place students in a red, yellow, or green category based on the risk score assigned. This score can change throughout the semester as student performance changes. Based on the various alerts that can be triggered, academic advisors will tailor messaging to students individually or in bulk (with some personalization) with the appropriate intervention. These interventions can be anything from reminders and check-ins or connecting the student to ECC or outside resources. Advisors may not spend a lot of time with students in green and only send them important general messages and limit interactions to more traditional advising. While they may spend more time on students in the red. Equity is a central feature of the new case management system.

Not all student needs are related to navigating the academic and procedural aspects of college. The resources needed may be beyond what an academic advising expert can provide. With the creation of Student Success Coaches, we believe we can further support our students with an added layer of support for those that need it. Student Success Coaches will emphasize the importance of establishing and cultivating a personal connection with each student in their caseload. By developing relationships with students, coaches will gain a more complete understanding of each student’s unique situation, cultural background, personal circumstances, and needs. They can then provide student-centered supports by connecting students to relevant campus resources (TRIUMPH, tutoring, financial aid) or through direct referrals and personal connections to community resources for serious personal matters (i.e., food and housing insecurity, abusive relationships, transportation challenges, etc.). The role of a Success Coach is to offer everything from soft skills to community resources, most importantly it is to understand the social implications and roots of our students’ challenges.

In order to deliver the high touch, case-by-case management we envision, we will need to increase staff. We anticipate the new technology will help advisors and coaches use their time more effectively, it will also likely identify more students who have been falling through the cracks. Currently there are 13 academic advisors which may need to be increased. However, by hiring three success coaches who are dedicated to handle the most vulnerable of our students and are trained to support the social aspects and obstacles at a high level, they may be able to handle the intense cases more effectively and simultaneously free up the current number of academic advisors to handle their caseloads without increasing the number of positions needed.
The Holistic Case Management Model strategy is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:

- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through coursework
- Student job attainment
- Transfer to subsequent educational institutions
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
- Enrollment of identified target populations
- Preparedness of graduates for transfer and/or employment

**ILEA EQUITY PLAN STRATEGY 7: TIDE: Teaching/Learning Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity**

The TIDE Group works in conjunction with ECC’s Center for Enhancement of Teaching & Learning (“CETL”), Professional/Organizational Development, and Student Services for the purpose of building on current faculty training to highlight key challenges and concerns in promoting diversity and to illustrate ways of incorporating an understanding of cultural inclusivity in the classroom and beyond. Despite having as a priority of the overall ECC Strategic Plan to promote diversity and advance opportunities for marginalized students, achieving these goals in the day-to-day classroom is harder to accomplish. Our student body is diverse along many lines, including race, ethnicity, age, religion, and previous college experience. We also have a large contingency of non-traditional students. Too, many of our students are working parents, first-generation, full-time employees, immigrants, English-language learners, and more. All of these demographic characteristics combine into a very diverse campus, which makes the need for strong cultural competency and inclusivity paramount. The goal of this TIDE program is to assist in developing a training framework and resources aimed at preparing faculty to intentionally create culturally responsive classrooms and curriculum. This will include both internally and externally developed content. The TIDE program will move faculty beyond awareness to action: incorporating specific strategies for enhancing ones’ own cultural competence and then addressing how equity and inclusion play out in the classroom.

During Fall 2019, TIDE piloted a series of introductory equity-minded pedagogy workshops with faculty in the English Department (based on scheduling availability and expressed interest). The five sessions consisted of:

1. Introduction to TIDE, starting conversations, language, self-awareness, etc.
2. Belongingness
3. Culturally Responsive Assessment
4. Culturally Responsive Syllabi
5. Reflections – Focus Group

The first four sessions have been approved by the CETL Advisory Committee to award CETL lane movement credit.
Based on the feedback from the fall sessions, a spring series of monthly introductory workshops is planned and will incorporate any applicable changes. The pilot will be opened up to other departments who are interested in using the same model. Ultimately, the vision is for this to become an institutionalized program for all faculty to attend this introductory series and develop an intermediate level to continue advancing concepts related to culturally responsive classrooms for those who completed the introduction workshops. Students should start to feel as though their course materials and classrooms reflect who they are and the faculty they encounter are inclusive. Research indicates that students truly benefit from inclusive classrooms and culturally responsive instruction. “A pedagogy that empowers students intellectually, socially, emotionally, and politically by using cultural referents to impart knowledge, skills, and attitudes” is the definition of culturally responsive instruction as defined by Dr. Gloria Ladson-Billings in her book The Dreamkeepers (1994). Students thrive in the classrooms of teachers who have high expectations for students from all cultural backgrounds, use a variety of resources, promote positive social and academic development, and collaborate with colleagues and parents (Krasnoff, 2016).

Additionally, in 2020-2021, the focus will include training TIDE members and other faculty and administrators in Equity Coaching to be equipped to support other faculty in designing and facilitating equitable courses and classrooms. The intention is to create a sustainable model of faulty support related to equity and culturally responsive teaching practices. The certification program will be based on a series of workshops facilitated by NAPE (National Association for Partnerships in Equity).

The TIDE program strategy is connected to other institutional efforts and supports ECC’s strategies in our 2018-2022 Strategic Plan. See Table 9 for specific strategies that are aligned.

The Success Indicators used to demonstrate this strategy is having an impact are:

- Student completion of course and programs
- Student progression through coursework
- Student engagement and satisfaction with college programs and services
- Employee mastery of professional development goals
- Effectiveness and efficiency of institutional processes
- Employee awareness and understanding of institutional processes
5. Evaluation Plan

At ECC, when it comes to evaluating any of our plans, from small pilot projects to key strategies in our Operating Plan, we follow the PDSA model (Plan, Do, Study, Act). Two times a year our strategy leaders, assigned to each of the Operating Plan actions, complete a progress update using the following form. As ILEA strategies are implemented, this same progress update process will be followed. The updates will be informed by summative and formative (both quantitative and qualitative) and student feedback. Students are often key collaborators.

**FY202X OPERATING PLAN PROGRESS UPDATE FORM**

**Goal: (Insert here)**

**Strategy #: (Insert here)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action X.X</th>
<th>(Insert here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Collaborators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What activities are we doing?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STUDY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What forms of evidence were used to know whether activities worked as intended?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where is progress documented?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What will the college do next?</td>
<td>Cabinet Feedback:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next Steps:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PDSA model is a continuous cycle of improvement:
- Plan - Define the actions and set budgets
- Do – Implement actions and collect results
- Study – Analyze and study the results of the action, summarize and share
- Act – Reflect on meaning and implement new actions
6. Budget

The Strategic Planning and Budget Council ("SPBC") is ECC’s body that recommends to the President and Board annual budgets based on Operating Plan goals. The ideas and actions articulated in the strategies will be vetted for fair quotes and estimates of value for each of our strategies. We do this as part of our budget each year. It has been ECC practice to set budgets annually by the SPBC. We have a tightly regulated bidding process by both the Board and state law. We budget in a zero based manner meaning we plan our budgets from scratch without any assumptions of carry over funds from prior years. The reason for this is we want to test the viability from the prior year before we commit the following year.

In general, costs to implement these seven strategies will include software, personnel, travel, training, additional stipends to engage faculty/staff and general supplies like publications, NAPE toolkits, books for common reading, etc. Through various sources of funding (internal operating funds, technology fund, foundation fundraising, federal stimulus funds, etc.) these plans are viable. Some of the strategies are already in motion and funded currently.

Finally, as part of deliberations on future Operating Plans, the College President and ECC senior leaders created a Chief Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion ("EDI") Officer position. The budget for the position has been approved, a national search will be held in 2020 with the position starting in fiscal year 2021. This position will report to the College President and lead the development and implementation of proactive EDI initiatives in support of the College’s Strategic Plan to create a learning and working environment where all have an opportunity to succeed. Some of the responsibilities will include providing strategic leadership for developing and maintaining EDI policies and plans; partnering with campus leaders, faculty, students, staff, and internal and external constituencies to ensure programming supports the College’s values and strategic goals; establishing and overseeing an EDI taskforce that will guide institutional transformation, promotion, and implementation of related programs, projects, and initiatives; collaborating with Teaching, Learning and Student Development and CETL to support inclusive excellence in the curriculum and classroom through the implementation of pedagogy and scholarly activities supporting EDI. At present, we estimate a salary and benefits package of $150,000 and costs for related travel and materials.
7. Timeline

Much of 2019 has been spent identifying the gaps, understanding the contributing factors to those gaps, and identifying appropriate strategies to use in the ILEA Equity Plan. The selected strategies are all in different phases of development. Some were pilots already deployed that we will continue to evolve as we scale them up while others are well thought out ideas that require further development before anything is implemented. In 2020, each of the key parties will complete a project plan for their strategy. Just as with any of our other actions from the Operating Plan, updates using the same form in Section 5 Evaluation Plan will be completed twice a year to the ILEA team and up through to Cabinet.

For each strategy, a member of the ILEA team has been assigned to support the strategy and the strategy’s main contact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ILEA Plan Strategy</th>
<th>ILEA Team Member</th>
<th>Strategy Main Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: MAP - Mandatory Advising Program</td>
<td>Gregory Robinson</td>
<td>Peggy Gundrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: OER - Open Educational Resources</td>
<td>Peggy Heinrich</td>
<td>Tim Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mentoring (TRIUMPH and Peer to Peer)</td>
<td>Amybeth Maurer</td>
<td>Erik Enders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: OLAS Expansion and Outreach</td>
<td>Rodrigo Lopez</td>
<td>Gaea Atta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Complete to Compete Grant</td>
<td>Philip Garber</td>
<td>David Davin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Holistic Case Management Model</td>
<td>John Long</td>
<td>John Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: TIDE – Teaching/Learning, Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity group formation</td>
<td>Deborah Orth</td>
<td>Tyler Roeger and Susan Timm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 8. ILEA Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ILEA Leadership Team</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Sam, PhD, JD, LLM</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ILEA Core Team (in alphabetical order)</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soma Chattopadhyay, PhD</td>
<td>Instructor of Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Garber, PhD</td>
<td>Vice President of Planning, Institutional Effectiveness, &amp; Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy Heinrich, EdD</td>
<td>Vice President of Teaching, Learning, &amp; Student Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Long, MS</td>
<td>Director of Student Success &amp; Judicial Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigo Lopez, MPA</td>
<td>Assistant Dean of School Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amybeth Maurer, MEd</td>
<td>Director of Orientation &amp; Student Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Orth, MA</td>
<td>Project Assessment Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Robinson, PhD</td>
<td>Asst. Vice President of Student Services &amp; Development / Dean of Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuel Salgado Jr, PhD</td>
<td>Professor of Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanya Ternes, MA</td>
<td>Director of Institutional Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Campus Groups Consulted for Equity Plan Development**

- Administrative Team
- Faculty Senate
- Staff (SSECCA)
- Deans Council
- Student Success Infrastructure
- Student Government Association
- President’s Cabinet
8. Glossary

Cohort – A way of grouping students to compare across a number of access, performance, persistence, and completion indicators. In the Excel file that accompanies these documents and is used to set disaggregated early momentum and degree completion targets in service of eliminating gaps among target student groups, cohort refers to when students entered the college/university (e.g., Fall 2019 cohort is the student group that entered the institution in the fall of 2019). Secondarily, we also refer to the ILEA cohort as the group of 25 member colleges and universities.

Completion Gap – The difference in college completion rates between student groups at the same college or university. ILEA is focused on the completion gap between African-American and White students, Latino/a, and White students, and low-income and higher income students (as indicated by Pell receipt).

Equity – A campus culture and environment in which every student receives the supports they need to complete their degree equitably and in a timely manner when compared to their peer in other racial or socioeconomic groups. PCC is interested in supporting ILEA cohort members as they refine how they support African-American, Latino/a, and low-income students on their campuses. This can include redesigning existing supports, creating new supports and eliminating seen and unseen barriers for student success.

Equity Plan – A roadmap that outlines how each ILEA cohort member plans to close gaps in degree attainment for low-income, African American, and Latino/a students. The ILEA Equity Plan will describe how each cohort member plans to close gaps in degree attainment for the target student groups.

Graduation Rate – The percentage of students that complete their degree. For students enrolled in community college, completing their degree in 2 years is 100% time and completing their degree in 3 years is 150% time. For students enrolled in a four-year institution, completing their degree in 4 years is 100% time and completing their degree in 6 years is 150% time. There are specific time to degree periods for analysis, goal setting, and reporting within the Equity Plan for different target populations (e.g. entering freshmen, transfer students, part-time students).

Illinois Equity in Attainment (ILEA) – A Partnership for College Completion (PCC) initiative that aims to close gaps in degree attainment among different racial and socioeconomic groups at 25 two-year and four-year public and private non-profit colleges and universities in the state of Illinois. We aim to accomplish this goal by supporting, convening, and providing targeted resources, training, and support to the 25 ILEA cohort members, and facilitating the process of developing and executing on a campus-wide equity plan.
Lagging Indicator – A metric that represents the measurement of a specific output over time. For ILEA, an example of a lagging indicator is a graduation rate, by time to degree and particular student population. Graduation rates are our ultimate goal.

Leading Indicator – A metric that has evidence of being a predictor of a specific outcome. A leading indicator is often referred to as an early momentum indicator. We are interested in tracking a set of metrics that have evidence of predicting degree completion and measuring progress toward that goal. For community colleges, these metrics include: credits accumulated first fall, credits accumulated first year, gateway course completion, and fall 1 to fall 2 persistence. For 4-year universities, these metrics include: credits accumulated first fall, credits accumulated first year, gateway course completion in year 1, fall 1 to fall 2 persistence, credits accumulated by end of second year, and fall 2 to fall 3 persistence.

Institutional Strategy – A reform strategy that affects at least 50% of the total targeted student population that it aims to serve. For ILEA, we are interested in implementing institutional strategies we believe they have the requisite scale to significantly impact equity in degree completion.

150% Time – Refers to students’ time to degree. 150% time refers to the percentage of students that complete their degree within three years for associate degree seekers and within six years for bachelor’s degree seekers.
## 9. Appendix

### Continued From 3C. Interim Benchmark Goals

#### Leading Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Year</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Fall 1 to Fall 2 persistence</th>
<th>Part-time students</th>
<th>Full-time students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
<td>White Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Fall 2018</td>
<td>benchmark year</td>
<td>38% 51% 39% 57% 40% 54% 73% 68% 72% 69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Fall 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>41% 52% 42% 57% 43% 57% 73% 69% 72% 70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Fall 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>44% 53% 45% 57% 46% 60% 73% 70% 72% 70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Fall 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>48% 54% 48% 57% 49% 64% 73% 71% 73% 71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Fall 2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>51% 55% 51% 57% 51% 67% 73% 71% 73% 72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 Fall 2023</td>
<td></td>
<td>54% 56% 54% 57% 54% 70% 73% 72% 73% 72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 Fall 2024</td>
<td></td>
<td>57% 57% 57% 57% 57% 73% 73% 73% 73% 73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2024 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Year</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Credits accumulated first fall</th>
<th>Part-time students (at least 7)</th>
<th>Full-time students (at least 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
<td>White Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Fall 2018</td>
<td>benchmark year</td>
<td>29% 37% 40% 50% 32% 6% 12% 16% 12% 15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Fall 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>33% 39% 42% 50% 35% 8% 13% 16% 13% 15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Fall 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>36% 41% 43% 50% 38% 9% 13% 16% 13% 15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Fall 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>40% 44% 45% 50% 41% 11% 14% 16% 14% 16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Fall 2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>43% 46% 47% 50% 44% 13% 15% 16% 15% 16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 Fall 2023</td>
<td></td>
<td>47% 48% 48% 50% 47% 14% 15% 16% 15% 16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 Fall 2024</td>
<td></td>
<td>50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2024 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Year</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Credits accumulated first year</th>
<th>Part-time students (at least 15)</th>
<th>Full-time students (at least 30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
<td>White Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Fall 2018</td>
<td>benchmark year</td>
<td>23% 26% 32% 39% 25% 0% 5% 9% 7% 8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Fall 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>26% 28% 33% 39% 27% 2% 6% 9% 7% 8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Fall 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>28% 30% 34% 39% 30% 3% 6% 9% 8% 8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Fall 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>31% 33% 36% 39% 32% 5% 7% 9% 8% 9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Fall 2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>34% 35% 37% 39% 34% 6% 8% 9% 8% 9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 Fall 2023</td>
<td></td>
<td>36% 37% 38% 39% 37% 8% 8% 9% 9% 9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 Fall 2024</td>
<td></td>
<td>39% 39% 39% 39% 39% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2024 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)
### Completion Metrics

#### Fall 2023 (Target)
- 60% for English
- 40% for Math

(2024 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)

#### Reporting Year | Cohort | Part-Time Students | Full-time students
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gateway course completion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
<td>Latinx Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Fall 2018 (benchmark cohort)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Fall 2019</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Fall 2020</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Fall 2021</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Fall 2022</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 Fall 2023</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 Fall 2024</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2023 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)

#### Reporting Year | Cohort | Degree in 2 years | Full-time students
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part-Time Students</td>
<td>Full-time students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American Students</td>
<td>Latinx Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Fall 2016 (benchmark cohort)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Fall 2017</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Fall 2018</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Fall 2019</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Fall 2020</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Fall 2021</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Fall 2022</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2022 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Year</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>African-American Students</th>
<th>Latinx Students</th>
<th>White Students</th>
<th>Pell Students</th>
<th>Non-Pell Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>(benchmark cohort)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Fall 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Fall 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Fall 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Fall 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 Fall 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 Fall 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2021 (Target)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>21%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2021 targets were set to highest benchmark year value from any of selected cohorts. The target-setting demographic group is highlighted in red)
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